HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysis

ORGANIZATION bill analysis 5/22/95 (CSSB 1685 by Delisi)			
SUBJECT:	Creating a prostate cancer education program		
COMMITTEE:	Public Health — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	5 ayes — Berlanga, Coleman, Delisi, Glaze, Maxey		
	0 nays		
	4 absent — Hirschi, Janek	x, McDonald, Rodriguez	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, May 10	— 31-0	
WITNESSES:	None		
DIGEST:	CSSB 1685 would require implement a program to e and the value and method	ducate the public on the c	causes of prostate cancer
	The commissioner would recommendations on strate members would not be en reimbursement.	egies for educating the pu	blic. Task force
	CSSB 1685 would take in members of each house.	nmediate effect if approve	ed by two thirds of the
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSSB 1685 would help T prostate cancer, that can b costs and improving the q are diagnosed each year w from the disease.	be treated early or prevented uality of live. An estimate	ed, saving health care ted 13,000 Texas men
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSSB 1685 would be sing treatment and cost the star Less costly approaches in public service announcem medical and other health of	te about \$85,000 - \$90,00 educating the public may ents or private sector reso	0 per year to carry out. be through the use of

SB 1685 House Research Organization page 2

NOTES: The committee substitute differs from the Senate-passed version in that it would use Texas instead of national statistics for defining the extent of the problem of prostate cancer and require the commissioner to appoint a task force using existing resources.