HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis	5/5/1999	HB 2883 Bailey (CSHB 2883 by Wise)
SUBJECT:	Payment information requests by podiatrists to insurers and HMOs.		
COMMITTEE:	Insurance — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	7 ayes — Smithee, Eiland, Burnam, J. Moreno, Olivo, Thompson, Wise		
	1 nay — Seaman		
	1 absent — G. Le	ewis	
WITNESSES:	and First National	bigail, MD, American Colle l Guild of Medical Provider ter Hinojosa, Texas AFL-C	ege of Foot and Ankle Surgeons rs; Mark Hanna, Texas Podiatry IO; Kirk Koepsel, Texas
		Patterson, Texas Associatio Association of Life and He	•
BACKGROUND:	contracts and heal	mes contract with insurers Ith maintenance organization e Texas State Board of Pod	ons (HMOs). Podiatrists in Texas
	3.70-3C. These pl insurers where the preferred status in coding guidelines to see preferred pr member sees any	ans involve contracts betwee e providers agree to charge in the insurers' health plans. and payment schedules. In roviders by covering more of other provider. Some of the	ed by the Insurance Code, Art. een health care providers and discounted fees in exchange for These discounted fees are set by surers encourage plan members of the costs than when a plan e insurers who use these plans benefit organizations (PPOs).
DIGEST:	insurer using a pr ! the podiatrist	referred provider benefit pla	n a licensed podiatrist and an an or an HMO to provide that: coding guidelines and payment ccording to the contract;

## HB 2883 House Research Organization page 2

- ! the insurer or HMO would have to provide those copies within 30 days of the request;
- ! the insurer or HMO could not make material retroactive revisions to the coding guidelines and payments schedules without notifying the podiatrist; and
- ! the podiatrist could furnish x-rays and non-prefabricated orthotics (medical devices customized for the patient) covered by the health insurance policy.

CSHB 2883 would take effect September 1, 1999, and apply to contracts entered into on or after that date.

SUPPORTERSCSHB 2883 would require insurers and HMOs to reveal their coding<br/>guidelines and payment schedules to podiatrists to prevent confusion and<br/>mistakes in billing.

Some insurers and HMOs use coding schedules that are different from the coding schedules routinely used by podiatrists, called physician's current procedural terminology (CPT) codes. There is a code for every treatment and diagnosis. Podiatrists can be confused and underpaid because they do not understand the different coding schedules that are unique to individual insurers and HMOs. A podiatrist may bill an expensive procedure under one code and be reimbursed for a less expensive procedure if the codes used by the insurer or HMO are not standard.

CSHB 2883 would also prohibit insurers and HMOs from changing their codes and payment schedules after the podiatrist has agreed to the contract and its terms. Reimbursement terms should not be changed without the podiatrist's approval once the contract has been signed.

Podiatrists already can furnish x-rays and medical devices customized to the patient according to the terms of the health insurance policy, yet some insurers and HMOs have refused to reimburse podiatrists for these costs even if the x-rays and devices are covered by the policy. CSHB 2883 would give statutory support for podiatrists to hold insurers and HMOs to the terms of their own policies.

## HB 2883 House Research Organization page 3

The committee substitute was written to address the concerns of insurers and HMOs. CSHB 2883 is an agreed bill that now is supported by podiatrists, insurers, and HMOs.

OPPONENTS No apparent opposition. SAY:

NOTES: The original version would have applied to all health care providers, not just podiatrists. The original version would have applied the following requirements to all contracts between health care providers and insurers using preferred provider benefit plans or HMOs:

- ! The inclusion of a complete fee schedule, coding guidelines, and a complete explanation of the method for determining payment in the contract;
- ! A prohibition against unilateral changes to the fee schedule or amendments to the contract without giving the provider 90 days' prior written notice and allowing the provider to terminate the contract before the implementation of the revised fee schedule without penalty;
- ! A prohibition against the insurer or HMO assigning the contract to another entity and binding the provider to another health care plan without the provider's consent;
- ! Allowing the provider 90 days after the date of service to submit a claim for payment;
- ! A requirement that the insurer or HMO would have to pay a properly submitted and complete claim no later than the 45th day after a claim was submitted or forfeit any applicable fee discount and pay the provider's standard fee;
- ! Clearly describe all information that must be included on a claim form submitted by a provider to render the claim full and complete for payment purposes;
- ! Provide that once eligibility and benefits had been properly verified by the provider, the insurer or HMO could not deny a claim because the enrollee no longer was eligible for coverage or that the benefits had changed;
- ! Define "medical necessity" as "the standard for health care services as determined by physicians and providers in accordance with the prevailing practices and standards of the medical profession and the community" and allow a provider to appeal an adverse decision regarding medical

## HB 2883 House Research Organization page 4

necessity to a panel of providers of the same specialty;

- ! Clearly explain the insurer's or HMO's policy regarding payment terms for multiple surgical procedures performed during the same operation;
- Prohibit the HMO or insurer from denying or interfering with the provider's right to render medical services and furnish durable medical equipment as was customary for providers of the same medical specialty;
- Provide for the automatic annual renewal of the contract, unless a party to the contract gave 90 days' prior written notice of termination to the other party stating the reason for the termination; and
- Provide for all unresolved disputes between the insurer or HMO and a provider to be resolved through binding arbitration on the request of either party.

The companion bill, SB 781 by Madla, passed the Senate by voice vote on April 20 and has been referred to the House Insurance Committee.