HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 5/17/1999	SB 60 Moncrief, Shapiro, Shapleigh (Goolsby, et al.)
SUBJECT:	Mandatory seat belt use for children in the back seats of vehicles	
COMMITTEE:	Transportation — favorable, with amendments	
VOTE:	8 ayes — Alexander, Siebert, Y. Davis, Hamric, Hawley, Hill, Noriega, Uher	
	0 nays	
	1 absent — Edwards	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, March 24 — voice vote	
WITNESSES:	For — Gary Floyd, M.D., Cook Children's Me National Highway Traffic Safety Administratic Merrifield	
	Against — None	
BACKGROUND:	Transportation Code, sec. 545.412(a) requires a and four to wear a seatbelt in any seat of a pass under age two to be secured in a child safety se child between the ages of four and 14 to wear a front seat of a passenger car, including trucks w carrying capacity if the seat is equipped with a this section is a misdemeanor punishable by a f	senger car and requires a child eat. Sec. 545.413(b) requires a a seat belt while riding in the with less than a 1,500-pound seat belt. An offense under
DIGEST:	SB 60, as amended, would amend Transportation require a child between the ages of four and 14 of a passenger car if the seat was equipped with	to wear a seat belt in any seat
	The bill would define "passenger car" to include capacity of less than 1,500 pounds and "safety any shoulder straps included as original equipments of the straps included as original equipments.	belt" to include a lap belt and
	The bill would take effect September 1, 1999, a that occurred on or after the effective date of the	

## SB 60 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY:	Mandatory seat-belt use for children in the back seats of vehicles would save lives. Statistics from a 1996 study by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration show that Texas children aged four to 17 who rode in the back of an enclosed vehicle without seat belts were three times more likely than those wearing seat belts to be killed in a serious accident. Children under 15 are more likely than adults to suffer severe injury if they are unrestrained in the back seats of vehicles. Children are smaller and do not manage their behavior as well as adults, which makes them more susceptible to being injured inside a car during a collision.	
	The penalty for violating this provision would be no higher than the penalty for adults who do not wear seat belts in the front of a vehicle, and it would not place a serious financial burden on families. Although enforcement might never be perfect, this bill is needed to send a strong message to parents to protect their children riding in the back seats of vehicles.	
OPPONENTS SAY:	Seat-belt use in the back seats of vehicles should be mandatory for all persons regardless of age. Many more lives would be saved if the law required all persons to wear seat belts in the back seat, instead of limiting the requirement to children under 15.	
NOTES:	The committee amendments would chang the definition of vehicles affected by the bill from passenger cars and pickup trucks to passenger cars and light trucks with a carrying capacity of less than 1,500 pounds. The committee also added the definition of a safety belt.	