5/22/2001

SB 1533 Madla (Villarreal)

SUBJECT: Allowing an application fee for a county ad valorem tax abatement

COMMITTEE: Ways and Means — favorable, without amendment

VOTE: 7 ayes — Oliveira, McCall, Bonnen, Y. Davis, Keffer, Ramsay, Ritter

0 nays

4 absent — Craddick, Hartnett, Heflin, Hilbert

On final passage, April 20 — 30-0, on Local and Uncontested Calendar SENATE VOTE:

WITNESSES: (On House companion bill, HB 2782:)

For — David Smith, Bexar County Commissioners Court

Against — None

Under Tax Code, sec. 312.002, an ad valorem taxing unit may not enter into BACKGROUND:

> a tax abatement agreement and a city or county governing body may not designate an area as a reinvestment zone unless the governing body has established guidelines and criteria for the abatement agreement. While cities may charge fees to cover costs of processing applications for tax abatements,

counties are not authorized to collect fees to help defray these costs.

DIGEST: SB 1533 would allow the guidelines and criteria for a tax abatement

agreement adopted by a county commissioners court to include a

requirement for an application fee of up to \$1,000.

The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record

vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect

September 1, 2001.

NOTES: The identical House companion bill, HB 2782 by Villarreal, passed the

> House on the Local, Consent, and Resolutions Calendar on May 6. It was reported favorably, without amendment, by the Senate Intergovernmental

SB 1533 House Research Organization page 2

Relations Committee on May 10 and recommended for the Local and Uncontested Calendar.