HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 5/13/2003	HB 1843 West (CSHB 1843 by B. Keffer)
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting construction in pipeline right-of-way without notice to operator	
COMMITTEE:	Energy Resources — committee substitute recommended	
VOTE:	5 ayes — West, Canales, Crabb, E. Jones, B. Keffer	
	0 nays	
	2 absent — Farabee, Delisi	
WITNESSES:	For — James Mann, Texas Pipeline Associatio Gas Association; Alan D. Wurtz, Enterprise; ( Marty Allday, Copano Energy and Enbridge E Energy and Shell Oil; William Nikolis, TEPPO Pipeline Association; Shayne Woodard, Duke	Registered, but did not testify:) Energy; Kinnan Goleman, Devon CO; Patrick Nugent, Texas
	Against — None	
DIGEST:	CSHB 1843 would prohibit construction on, across, over, or under the easement or right-of-way for a pipeline facility unless notice of the construction was given to the operator. In addition:	
	<ul> <li>the operator would have to determine the increase risk to the public or to the piper constructor would have to pay for any reprotect the public or pipeline;</li> <li>the construction would have to be condagreement; or</li> <li>the construction was necessitated by a regulated promptly by a regulated promptly</li></ul>	eline and, if there was a risk, the necessary improvements to lucted under an existing written natural disaster and would have
	The bill would apply to a construction or the remaintenance of a construction unless there was the pipeline owner and operator and the person Construction would be defined as a building, so other construction. A pipeline would include o	s a written agreement between n initiating the construction. structure, driveway, roadway, or

natural gas or to gather or transmit oil, gas, or oil and gas products.

## HB 1843 House Research Organization page 2

	The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2003.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 1843 would establish pipeline safety provisions for construction in a pipeline right-of-way, an important step toward protecting the public from pipeline accidents. A operator should be made aware of construction planned near a pipeline, since the operator would have specific knowledge about the potential hazards of construction. The bill prudently would give an operator the final say in any construction that could damage a pipeline's integrity or threaten Texas citizens.
	Recently adopted federal pipeline safety regulations require operators to maintain increased surveillance of construction activities taking place near pipelines, and these operators need to receive notice on construction planned in a pipeline's right-of-way. If construction would not affect pipeline safety, an operator would not interfere with the activity. CSHB 1843 simply would enable operators to be aware of construction planned in a right-of-way and determine whether the construction was problematic.
	If a construction project was potentially harmful, CSHB 1843 would require the constructor to pay for improvements needed to address those hazards. It is sensible for the individual planning the construction to cover the cost of making that construction safe.
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSHB 1843 would grant too much authority to a pipeline operator in determining whether construction in a right-of-way could commence. The bill could lead to abuse by operators who did not want construction near their pipelines even when the public would not be threatened.
NOTES:	The bill as introduced would not have provided that construction in a pipeline right-of-way could occur in response to a natural disaster.