HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION t	bill analysis 5/10/2005	HB 1823 Dutton, Farrar, Smith (CSHB 1823 by Vo)	
SUBJECT:	Rights of a buyer under an executory contract for real property		
COMMITTEE:	Business and Industry — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	6 ayes — Giddings, Bohac, Martinez, Taylor, Vo, Zedler		
	0 nays		
	1 present not voting — Solomons		
	2 absent — Elkins, Bailey		
WITNESSES:	SES: For — Eva Diaz, Toni McElroy, Martha Rubio, Houston ACORN; Robert Doggett, John Henneberger, Texas Low Income Housing Information Service; Richard Leal; Rosa Lewis, Dallas & Ft. Worth ACORN		
	Against — None		
BACKGROUND:	real property passes from the seller to the buyer only	ts of the contract have been met, including payment in full for	
	Deceptive trade practices are defined and prohibited in Commerce Code, ch. 17. A consumer who files suit is deceptive trade practice is eligible to recover economic judge or jury finds that the seller acted knowingly, the recover mental anguish damages, and the judge or jury amount of the economic damages. If the judge or jury acted intentionally, the judge or jury may triple the con- the economic and mental anguish damages. A consum- reasonable attorney's fees and other damages.	under ch. 17 for a ic damages. If the e consumer also may ry may triple the ry finds that the seller ombined amount of	
DIGEST:	CSHB 1823 would amend Business and Commerce C to entitle a buyer, at any time and without penalties, t in property under an executory contract into recorded so, the buyer would have to deliver to the seller a pro the amount owed under the executory contract, contact interest, due dates, and late fees. Within 10 days of r	o convert an interest , legal title. To do missory note equal to ining the same	

promissory note, the seller would have to execute a deed conveying recorded, legal title to the buyer. Simultaneously, the buyer would be required to execute a deed of trust that secured the buyer's payment and conveyed the property to a trustee with authority to sell the property if the buyer defaulted on the promissory note. A seller who refused without legal justification to convey recorded, legal title to the buyer would be liable to the buyer for liquidated damages of \$250 per day each day past the 10th day the seller received the promissory note and failed to comply. The daily penalty would increase to \$500 per day after the 90th day of non-compliance. The seller also would be liable to the buyer for reasonable attorney's fees.

The bill would add sec. 5.0721 to the Property Code, requiring an executory contract to contain a legal description of the property and an explanation of the buyer's right to receive an annual accounting statement and the buyer's remedies if the seller failed to provide the accounting. The seller could not place any liens or encumbrances on the property that were not disclosed in the contract and would be required to state that the property had been lawfully subdivided and platted. The seller could not include in the contract a provision that forfeited an option fee because of a late payment. Any provision in the contract that attempted to waive a right or exempt a party from liability or a duty required by subch. D would be void. At the time the contract was executed, the seller would have to provide to the buyer copies of application forms for any tax exemption available from property taxes for residential property and a description of those exemptions, and at execution, would provide an amortization schedule showing all payments due under the contract, including a breakdown of the adjustment of the interest and principal in each payment.

The annual accounting statement would have to include the amount of interest paid under the contract and a disclosure notifying the buyer that the interest paid under the contract might be tax deductible.

The bill would amend Local Government Code, sec. 212.0115(c) to entitle a purchaser of real property under a contract for deed or executory contract to obtain information on whether a plat for the land the purchaser had contracted to buy was required and whether such a plat had been approved. The bill would add sec. 5.083 to the Property Code to allow a buyer to cancel and rescind an executory contract at any time if the buyer learned that the seller had not properly subdivided or platted the property. After the seller received notice of cancellation from the buyer, the seller

would have 10 days either to notify the buyer of an intention to properly subdivide or plat the property or return to the buyer all payments made under the contract, including any payments for property taxes paid and the value of any improvements made to the property. The seller could not terminate the buyer's possession of the property before the seller paid the buyer this money. A buyer could not cancel the contract if, within 90 days of receiving notice of the buyer's intent to cancel, the seller properly subdivided or platted the property and notified the buyer.

The buyer could deduct from any amount owed to the seller any amount the seller owed to the buyer under subch. D, and could do so without taking judicial action.

A potential seller could not execute an executory contract with a potential buyer if the seller did not own the property in fee simple, free from any liens or encumbrances. The seller also would have to maintain fee simple title for the duration of the contract. A buyer could agree, before the contract was executed, to accept property that was not held in fee simple if the encumbrance on the property resulted from the seller obtaining a loan in the past to purchase the property. A buyer also could agree, after the contract was executed, that an encumbrance could be placed on the property in order to obtain a loan to make improvements to the property. A violation of this section would be a false, misleading, or deceptive act or practice, actionable in a public or private suit brought under the Business and Commerce Code, ch. 17, Deceptive Trade Practices. A violation also would entitle the buyer to cancel and rescind the contract, receive from the seller the return of payments made and reimbursement of payments for property taxes paid, and the value of any improvements made to the property.

The bill also would specifically address what are commonly known as rent-to-own agreements for homes. It would amend the Property Code to state that ch. 5, subch. D, and ch. 92, applied to a residential lease of real property, including a lease with an option to buy the property. Such a lease would be defined as an executory contract. Ch. 92 would cease to apply to the contract if the tenant exercised the option to purchase. The seller could not include in the contract a provision that increased the purchase price or penalized a buyer who was leasing with an option to buy for requesting repairs.

The changes in law made by secs. 5.062 and 5.0621 would apply to an executory contract in effect on September 1, 2005, regardless of the date on which the buyer and seller entered into the contract. The changes and additions in law made by secs. 5.0721, 5.0731, and 5.073 would apply to an executory contract for conveyance entered into on or after September 1, 2005. The changes in law made by sec. 5.077 would apply to an annual accounting statement provided on or after September 1, 2005. The changes in law made by secs. 5.081 and 5.082 would apply to a conversion of title initiated or a request made on or after September 1, 2005. Sec. 5.083 would apply to a seller's failure or refusal to subdivide or plat real property on or after September 1, 2005, regardless of the date on which the buyer and seller entered into the contract. Sec. 5.084 would apply to the computation of any amount owed to the seller by the buyer under the terms of any executory contract on or after September 1, 2005, regardless of the date on which the buyer and seller entered into the contract. Sec. 5.085 would apply to an executory contract entered into on or after September 1, 2005. The bill would take effect September 1, 2005.

SUPPORTERSExecutory contracts – which include contracts for deed and rent-to-ownSAY:contracts – have been used more frequently in recent years as predatory
lenders prey on the immigrant, minority, and low-income populations of
Texas. Under such a contract, the seller holds the deed for the property
until the buyer has paid for the property in full. If the buyer for any reason
does not pay in full for the property, such as if the buyer moves or is
evicted, the seller keeps the deed and all money paid under the contract.
The buyer never earns equity in the house. The seller does not have to
institute foreclosure proceedings to regain possession of the house.

Executory contracts are not well regulated. As a result, abuse of such contracts has become rampant in Texas. This has come to the public's attention after thousands of people in Houston lost their homes under such contracts with a single seller. CSHB 1823 would provide numerous protections for buyers under such contracts, while not banning them outright, and would not place too great a burden on legitimate sellers.

OPPONENTS CSHB 1823 could make it more difficult for lower income people or SAY: people with poor credit histories to buy a house in Texas because sellers may be less likely to sell a house under a contract for deed due to restrictions and regulations imposed by the bill.

NOTES: The bill as introduced did not require an executory contract to contain a covenant that the seller would not place any liens or encumbrances on the property that were not disclosed in the contract or a covenant that the property was lawfully subdivided and platted. It did not require the seller to provide the buyer with information about available tax exemptions or an amortization schedule, or to include in the annual accounting statement the amount of interest paid. It did not allow the seller to have any lien or encumbrance on the property except one allowed by the buyer for a loan to improve the property.

The companion bill, SB 629 by Lucio, passed the Senate on 29-1 (Nelson) on April 27 and has been referred to the House Business and Industry Committee.