SUBJECT:	Authorizing tax exemption for leased rent-to-own property
COMMITTEE:	Ways and Means — favorable, without amendment
VOTE:	8 ayes — J. Keffer, Villarreal, Edwards, Grusendorf, Luna, Paxton, Ritter, Woolley
	0 nays
	1 absent — Smithee
WITNESSES:	For — Robert O. Briley; Danny T. Wilbanks
	Against — None
BACKGROUND:	Under Texas Constitution Art. 8, sec. 1(d), the Legislature by general law must exempt from ad valorem taxation household goods and personal effects not held or used for the production of income. The Legislature may exempt from ad valorem taxation:
	 a personal property homestead; tangible personal property that is not used for the production of income; and a leased vehicle not used primarily for the production of income. Notwithstanding a law adopted under this section of the Constitution to exempt from taxation tangible personal property and leased vehicles, a political subdivision may tax such property, except the Legislature may place limitations on the taxation of vehicles not used primarily for income production.
	Under Tax Code, sec. 23.12, rent-to-own property is taxed as inventory. The appraisal value of inventory is determined by its market value selling price. Inventory value depreciates according to an appraisal district's

application of generally accepted appraisal practices.

HB 2187 House Research Organization page 2

DIGEST:	HB 2187 would exempt from ad valorem taxation tangible personal property leased under a rent-to-own contract that was intended for personal use within the home and did not involve the production of income the majority of the time lessee used the property. The governing body of a municipality by ordinance adopted before January 1, 2006, still could tax the property exempted by the bill.
	The lessee of rent-to-own property would be required to certify under oath, by completing a form, that the property would not be used to generate income. The owner of the rent-to-own property would maintain the form for inspection by the chief appraiser. If the owner failed to maintain such a form, the owner would be required to render the rent-to- own property for taxation. The owner of rent-to-own property also would be required to complete and provide to the chief appraiser a property report form including detailed information about each piece of property subject on January 1 of each year to a rent-to-own contract. The comptroller would be required by rule to establish exemption application requirements, forms, and procedures necessary to carry out the provisions of the bill.
	The bill would require chief appraisers to appraise unleased rent-to-own property inventory according to a three-year straight-line depreciation method in which the property would depreciate by one-third of its value each year.
	If, on November 8, 2005, voters approve HJR 65 by Otto, a constitutional amendment to authorize the exemption of rent-to-own property from taxation, the bill would take effect January 1, 2006.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 2187 appropriately would exempt rent-to-own property leased for personal use in homes. Under Tax Code, ch. 11.14, all tangible personal property not used for income production is entitled to an exemption. Items exclusively used for personal use, whether leased or purchased, should be exempted from ad valorem taxation.
	The bill's definition of rent-to-own property accurately would depict the true intention of most lessees, who contract with rent-to-own business to obtain items for personal use in their homes that they otherwise could not afford, such as stereos, washing machines, and kitchen tables. HB 2187

would require each lessee to specify the use of the property in a form

HB 2187 House Research Organization page 3

maintained by the leasing property owner that the owner would submit to the appraiser to receive a tax exemption.

By exempting rent-to-own property, the bill would relieve many citizens who leased property under rent-to-own contracts from double-taxation. Whereas individuals who can afford cash purchases for personal items are subject only to sales tax, a lessee is taxed twice — paying sales tax and also property tax that is passed through by the property owner to the lessee. In addition,

HB 2187 would not place municipalities in a financial bind by requiring them to exempt rent-to-own property from taxation. Municipalities could opt out of extending this tax relief to their citizens if the governing body decided that local circumstances required it.

The bill would apply a consistent three-year depreciation schedule to unleased rent-to-own property. Under Tax Code, ch. 23.12, chief appraisers must establish equal and uniform appraisal standards according to generally accepted appraisal practices to valuate inventory. However, depreciation schedules vary among districts from three years to 10 years. The Internal Revenue Service already applies an accelerated deprecation schedule on such property, which calculates depreciation at a faster rate than does the straight-line method of depreciation. HB 2187 would not accelerate depreciation but would allow for uniform decline in value over three years, which is the product-life standard in the rent-to-own industry.

There is precedent in Texas law for exempting leased property from taxation. HB 3033 by Oliveira, enacted in 1999 by the 76th Legislature, exempts automobile dealers from property taxes on leased vehicles under justifications similar to those for this bill.

OPPONENTS HB 2187 would allow an inappropriate exemption from taxation of rent-SAY: to-own property used to generate income. The bill would permit exemptions for property not used to produce income for the "majority of the time the property is used in a year," which means that the property could be used to generate income part of the time and still be exempted.

> Statutorily mandating the use of a depreciation schedule would run contrary to normal appraisal district standards. Under Tax Code, ch. 23.01, market value determines the acceptable appraisal methods and techniques

HB 2187 House Research Organization page 4

used by appraisal districts. HB 2187 could result in the taxation of property based on distorted values. By creating another exemption to ad valorem taxation of property, the bill would reduce taxable property values for most local governments, according to the fiscal note. While municipalities could deny these additional tax exemptions by ordinance, the bill would place municipal officials under great pressure to offer them. These officials already are torn by competing demands from their citizens for tax relief on the one hand and improved services on the other, and HB 2187 only would worsen this dilemma for municipalities. The fact that certain leased property already may receive exemptions under current law is not a good reason to authorize even more exemptions. OTHER Counties, school districts, and other local taxing entities also should **OPPONENTS** allowed to opt out the rent-to-own tax exemption just as cities could under SAY: the bill. These local taxing units would lose revenue just as cities would and should have the chance to decide whether to permit this new exemption. NOTES: The accompanying constitutional amendment, HJR 65 by Otto, is on today's Constitutional Amendments Calendar.