HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION t	oill analysis	5/5/2005	HB 2678 Smithee (CSHB 2678 by Smithee)
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting liability insurers from considering patient population status		
COMMITTEE:	Insurance — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	8 ayes — Smithee, Seaman, Eiland, Isett, B. Keffer, Taylor, Thompson, Van Arsdale		
	0 nays		
	1 absent — Olive	eira	
WITNESSES:	For — Jenny Young, Texas Dental Association		
	Against — Jay Thompson, Medical Protective Company		
BACKGROUND:	Medicaid is the state-federal health insurance program for children, low- income families, elderly, and the disabled. The Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) is the state-federal health insurance program for children in low-income families who do not qualify for Medicaid.		
DIGEST:	care provider's cl	ientele received Medicai ng, limiting, or determini	considering whether a health d or CHIP in denying, refusing ng the rate or premium for
		ke effect September 1, 20 ter January 1, 2006.	005, and would apply to policies
SUPPORTERS SAY:	Medicaid and CH low-income patie discriminatory. T provider's claims	IIP patients. Insurers do r nts, so asking about Med he type of practice, the g history go into the calcu	against provi ders who see not ask if providers see other icaid and CHIP specifically is eographic location, and a lations, which should be he risk an insurer assumes when
	-		by this discriminatory practice. o capture dentists who see a

HB 2678 House Research Organization page 2

	large number of Medicaid clients and refused to renew their policies. The claims records alone of individual dentists should be a good indication of future risk, so this practice clearly was discriminatory.		
	Already Texas has a shrinking pool of providers willing to see Medicaid clients. State reimbursement for services has dwindled over recent years, and it is increasingly difficult for providers to cover their fixed expenses with the level of payment they receive for Medicaid visits. Texas should protect the ability of providers to obtain reasonable medical liability coverage.		
OPPONENTS SAY:	Different patient populations bring different levels of risk for standard of practice disputes and potential claims. High caseloads of Medicaid patients have the potential to generate more claims than other populations because the low reimbursement rate may cause providers to cut corners in standards of care. Insurers assume risk from providers and should be permitted to look at factors that indicate future risk.		
	Dentists are not unfairly being targeted. One insurance company had two large claims because a dentist inappropriately performed services on two children who were Medicaid clients that resulted in needless complications. Because of that experience, the insurer now inquires about a dentist's caseload, but does not discriminate against providers who have Medicaid or CHIP clients. The insurer simply uses the information to decide if additional information about standards of practice would be required from the provider.		
NOTES:	The committee substitute included an effective date of September 1, 2005, instead of an immediate effect clause.		