HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis	5/8/2015	HB 281 Simmons, Parker (CSHB 281 by Morrison)	
SUBJECT:	Limiting the expansion of certain landfills			
COMMITTEE:	Environmental Regulation — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	8 ayes — Morrison, E. Rodriguez, Isaac, Kacal, P. King, Lozano, Reynolds, E. Thompson			
	0 nays			
	1 absent — K. Kin	g		
WITNESSES:	For — Jeff Andonian and Scott Hudson, City of Carrollton; Roy Neil Ferguson and Burt Solomons, City of Lewisville; Robin Schneider, Texas Campaign for the Environment; Byron Friedrich; ( <i>Registered, but did not</i> <i>testify</i> : Lou MacNaughton; Steven Bacchus, City of Lewisville, Texas; David Foster, Clean Water Action; Rob Kohler, Environmental Protection of Caldwell County; David Weinberg, Texas League of Conservation Voters; Jennifer Allmon, the Texas Catholic Conference of Bishops)			
	TX; Steve Carr, Na Chapter, Republic Business; Arden K North America; Ch ( <i>Registered, but di</i>	ational Waste and Recy Services; Stephen Mini emler, Texas Chapter, S nris Macomb, Waste Ma d not testify: Daniel Ede		
	On — ( <i>Registerea</i> , Environmental Qu		l Lott, Texas Commission on	
DIGEST:	wholly located insi another municipali (TCEQ) could not permit that sought governing body of	de the boundaries of or ty. The Texas Commiss approve an application to expand the area or ca the municipality in wh	e 1 landfills when they were ne municipality but owned by sion on Environmental Quality to issue, amend, or renew a apacity of the landfill, unless the ich the landfill was located ace, amendment, or renewal of	

## HB 281 House Research Organization page 2

the permit.

CSHB 281 also would require TCEQ to provide an opportunity for members of the Legislature who represented the district containing the landfill described in the permit to comment on the application and to consider those comments in evaluating the application.

This bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2015 and would apply only to an application pending before TCEQ on or after that date.

SUPPORTERSCSHB 281 would provide for additional scrutiny regarding the expansionSAY:of landfills in Texas. The state's landfills have been increasing in capacity<br/>even as they are decreasing in number, which has ushered in an age of<br/>"mega landfills." Whereas the average height of landfills in 1986 was 13<br/>feet, today the average height is 83 feet, and Texas has more than 12<br/>landfills taller than 200 feet.

These large landfills tend to serve regions, rather than single communities. Capacity is outstripping need, and the proliferation of large landfills can lead to water pollution and the evaporation of mercury into the air. CSHB 281 would create an impetus for TCEQ to consider a bigger picture when approving such projects, not just whether the technical merits of the application were met.

One landfill to which this bill would apply is located in Lewisville and owned by the city of Farmers Branch. The city is seeking a permit for its expansion that would create a massive landfill 725 feet tall in an urbanized area, and the proposed expansion would affect another municipality, the city of Carrollton. The landfill expansion would more than double its current volume, and much of the new material deposited there will come from areas outside of Farmers Branch, which indicates that the city values the landfill as a source of revenue rather than a solution to its waste problem. It is not fair or appropriate that one city should be able to make such an important decision for another city with

## HB 281 House Research Organization page 3

no effective voice in that decision.

	TCEQ holds public meetings to hear concerns about permits under certain circumstances, but the agency is stretched thin in terms of resources. It also is limited in how it can formally consider community concerns. CSHB 281 would provide a formal pathway to include input from a community that could be significantly affected by decisions such as these.
	This bill would increase fairness by bringing a stronger voice back to the community. A quarter of a million people live in the area that could be affected by this landfill, and the Trinity River, which provides drinking water to more people in Texas than any other river, could be harmed if the landfill leaked. Members of the public and the officials who represent the affected area should have some say in a project like this.
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSHB 281 would interfere with a process that has been in the works for five years and would be unfair to the parties that have been following all the rules and procedures to pursue the landfill expansion. Furthermore, the bill would set a bad precedent. Businesses or municipalities cannot be expected to invest resources in following existing processes, such as seeking permits, if they could be undone in this manner.
	The current process already provides for disclosure and opportunities for the public to comment on new or expanding landfills and does not need to be changed. The parties have been negotiating a solution at the local level, and this bill only would complicate and interfere with those solutions.

A bill like this would not benefit the state as a whole but rather would target a specific situation. This is not the best use of the Legislature's resources and authority. Furthermore, interfering with this expansion would not solve the problem of what to do with solid waste for this growing region.

The bill effectively would give cities veto power in such cases. Because the bill would require action in the form of a resolution or order to approve a permit, a project could be killed just by the city taking no

## HB 281 House Research Organization page 4

action. A contested case hearing already has been requested regarding the Farmers Branch landfill permit. That process should be allowed to play out.