HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill digest 5/26/2015	SB 1583 V. Taylor, et al. (Fletcher)
SUBJECT:	Classifying a synthetic cannabinoid as a Schedule I controlle	d substance
COMMITTEE:	Public Health — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	8 ayes — Crownover, Naishtat, Collier, S. Davis, Guerra, R. Sheffield, Zerwas	Miller,
	0 nays	
	3 absent — Blanco, Coleman, Zedler	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, May 7 — 30-1 (Huffines)	
WITNESSES:	For — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Seth Mitchell, Bexar (Commissioners Court)	County
	Against — None	
	On — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Karen Tannert, Depart Health Services)	ment of State
BACKGROUND:	The Texas Controlled Substances Act under Health and Safe 481 regulates the use of controlled substances and classifies schedules and penalty groups. Schedule I controlled substance highest potential for abuse and do not have a currently accep use. The other schedules take into account the potential for a level of accepted medical use in treatment, and the likely level dependence resulting from abuse of the substance.	them into ces have the ted medical buse, the
	Some have called for synthetic cannabinoids to be classified I controlled substance because they have a high potential for not have a currently accepted medical use.	
DIGEST:	SB 1583 would include in the state's Schedule I drug schedul unregulated synthetic cannabinoid or cathinone designer drug similar by structure or pharmacological effect to a regulated	g that was

SB 1583 House Research Organization page 2

II controlled substance. A substance would be similar by structure or pharmacological effect to a Schedule I or II controlled substance if the substance contained a majority of functional features in a similar chemical structural arrangement or otherwise mimicked the pharmacological effect of a Schedule I or II controlled substance.

Any compound of a designer drug described by SB 1583 that was manufactured, formulated, sold, distributed, or marketed with the intent to circumvent the law would be a Schedule I controlled substance.

Examples of synthetic cannabinoid designer drugs would include substances that were generated using a three-component pharmacophore model. Synthetic cannabinoid designer drugs that contained one or more components of a controlled substance in Schedule I or II would be analogues of Schedule I or II controlled substances.

SB 1583 would specify that nothing in the bill would affect an exemption provided under state law to a person who possessed for a lawful purpose a chemical formula defined as a controlled substance.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2015.