HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis	4/27/2017	HB 1755 Neave (CSHB 1755 by Murr)	
SUBJECT:	Allowing electronic jury questionnaires			
COMMITTEE:	Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	8 ayes — Smithee, Gutierrez, Hernandez, Laubenberg, Murr, Neave, Rinaldi, Schofield			
	0 nays			
	1 absent — Farrar			
WITNESSES:	Commissioners Cour Harris County Comr Rick Thompson, Tex Conference of Urbar Commissioners Cour	Charles Reed, Dallas County <i>at did not testify</i> : Donna Warndof, Mark Mendez, Tarrant County; f Counties; John Dahill, Texas e Eckstein, Travis County ason)		
	Against — None			
BACKGROUND:			he required contents of jury along with their summons.	
DIGEST:	CSHB 1755 would provide an alternate method by which counties could send jury summons questionnaires. Instead of including a physical copy of the questionnaire in the summons, the bill would allow counties to send a written jury summons to potential jurors that included the internet address of the court's website where a potential juror could find an electronic copy of the questionnaire that could easily be printed.			
	The bill also would allow counties that had adopted plans for electronic jury selection to allow individuals to complete and submit a questionnaire on the court's website.			
	The bill would take of summons sent on or	*	1, 2017, and would apply to written	

## HB 1755 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 1755 would help counties save money on postage and administrative costs associated with the delivery of hard copy jury summons and questionnaires. Instead of being required to send potential jurors a summons, a return envelope, and a hard-copy questionnaire, the bill would allow counties instead to mail the summons bearing an internet address at less cost — by postcard, for example. By some estimates, such a system could save up to \$225,000 a year in Dallas County alone.			
	While internet access today is widespread, those who could not or did not complete the online form in advance would be able to fill out the questionnaire when they reported for jury service, which counties currently allow when someone has forgotten to complete the questionnaire or made a mistake when doing so.			
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSHB 1755 could have a disparate impact on low-income individuals, who are less likely to have internet access. Currently, counties include a return envelope and questionnaire for the potential juror to complete and mail. It is unlikely the bill would save money unless a county chose to place the entire questionnaire process on the court's website, but this could result in reduced jury service participation.			
NOTES:	A companion bill, SB 259 by Huffines, was approved by the Senate on March 28 and referred to the House Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence Committee on April 18.			
	The committee substitute differs from the original bill in that CSHB 1755 would allow counties that adopt plans for electronic jury summons to allow potential jurors to fill out and submit jury summons questionnaires on the court's website.			