(2nd reading) HB 1142 Lambert

SUBJECT: Allowing Taylor County to create a local provider participation fund

COMMITTEE: County Affairs — favorable, without amendment

VOTE: 9 ayes — Coleman, Bohac, Anderson, Biedermann, Cole, Dominguez,

Huberty, Rosenthal, Stickland

0 nays

WITNESSES: For — Norm Archibald, Hendrick Medical Center; (Registered, but did

> not testify: John Hawkins, Texas Hospital Association; Don McBeath, Texas Organization of Rural and Community Hospitals; Matt Gilbert;

Tonn Larry)

Against — None

BACKGROUND: Local provider participation funds were first authorized by the Legislature

> in 2013 as a way for counties to access federal funding for their nonpublic hospitals without expanding Medicaid, requiring state funding, or taxing the residents of the county. The funds provide a mechanism by which the county can collect mandatory payments from such institutions to provide the nonfederal share of Medicaid supplemental payments in order to access federal matching funds. Local provider participation funds are administered by county health care provider participation programs.

DIGEST: HB 1142 would allow a county that is not served by a public hospital or

> hospital district, has a population between 125,000 and 140,000, and is not adjacent to a county with a population of 1 million or more (Taylor County) to administer a county health care provider participation program.

Establishment of provider participation program. The bill would authorize the county's commissioners court, by a majority vote, to create the program and to require a mandatory payment from institutional health care providers. If the commissioners court authorized such a program, the court would have to require each hospital in the county to submit to the county a copy of any financial and utilization data required to be

HB 1142 House Research Organization page 2

submitted to the Department of State Health Services (DSHS) or the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC). The county commissioners would be allowed to inspect the records of any hospital to the extent necessary to ensure compliance with this requirement.

Collection, holding and disbursement of funds. The bill would require the commissioners court to hold a publicized public hearing on the amounts of any mandatory payments in each year that it authorized a health care provider participation program. A representative of any paying hospital would be allowed to attend and to be heard at any such meeting.

The commissioners court would establish a local provider participation fund in one or more banks that would be designated as depositories for the mandatory payments. The fund would consist only of the required payments including penalties and interest, money received from HHSC as a refund of federal Medicaid supplemental program payments, and fund earnings. Monies in the fund could not be commingled with other funds.

Money in the fund could only be used to:

- fund intergovernmental transfers from the county to the state to provide for the nonfederal share of a Medicaid supplemental payment program or a successor waiver program, and payments to Medicaid managed care organizations;
- subsidize indigent programs;
- pay the administrative expenses of the program;
- refund mandatary payments collected in error; and
- refund to hospitals a proportionate share of any funds collected by the county but not used to fund the payment of the nonfederal share of the Medicaid supplemental payment program.

Medicaid expansion. The bill would prohibit the use of intergovernmental transfers from the county to the state under this program to fund expanded Medicaid eligibility under the federal Affordable Care Act.

HB 1142 House Research Organization page 3

Mandatory payments. HB 1142 would require the commissioners court to assess the annual mandatory payment required of each hospital on the basis of its net patient revenue. The county would be required to update the amount of this payment on an annual basis and to collect the payment at least annually but not more often than quarterly.

The bill would also require that the amount of annual payment be uniformly proportionate to the amount of net patient revenue generated by each hospital and adequate to cover the expenses of the program, including intergovernmental transfers and indigent programs. The bill would limit the mandatory payment to no more than 6 percent of a hospital's net patient revenue. The commissioners court would be prohibited from using more than the lesser of 4 percent of the mandatory payments or \$20,000 per year for administrative expenses.

HB 1142 would prohibit a hospital from adding a mandatory payment required under the bill as a surcharge to a patient. As required by federal law, the bill would prohibit a mandatory payment under the program from holding harmless any hospital.

The bill would state that any interest, penalties, and discounts on mandatory payments under this program were governed by the law applicable to county ad valorem taxes.

The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2019.