HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill digest 5/24/2021	SB 424 (2nd reading) Hinojosa, Blanco (Hunter)	
SUBJECT:	Modifying state agency enforcement of laws regulating small businesses		
COMMITTEE:	State Affairs — favorable, without amendment		
VOTE:	11 ayes — Paddie, Hernandez, Deshotel, Harless, How King, Metcalf, Shaheen, Slawson, Smithee	ayes — Paddie, Hernandez, Deshotel, Harless, Howard, Hunter, P. ng, Metcalf, Shaheen, Slawson, Smithee	
	0 nays		
	2 absent — Lucio, Raymond		
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, April 8 — 30-0		
WITNESSES:	For — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Rod Bordelon, Toron Foundation; Annie Spilman, NFIB; Kelsey Streufert, To Association)	•	
	Against — None		
BACKGROUND:	Concerns have been raised that certain current regulations significant administrative burden on small businesses to compliance teams or other resources to ensure complian been calls to provide more flexibility for small business first instance of a regulatory violation.	hat may lack ince. There have	
DIGEST:	SB 424 would prohibit a state agency with regulatory a small business from imposing an administrative penalt business for a first violation of a statute or a rule admir agency, other than a violation committed knowingly or unless the agency first provided the business written no violation and an opportunity to remedy the violation w time after receiving the notice. A violation would not be continuing violation during the reasonable time in which business attempted in good faith to remedy it.	y against a small nistered by the r intentionally, otice of the ithin a reasonable be considered a ch the small	
	By January 1, 2022, each state agency subject to the bi	iii would have to	

SB 424 House Research Organization page 2

adopt a policy consistent with the bill's requirements that provided that the agency would not attempt to recover an administrative penalty during the reasonable time the small business was attempting to remedy the violation.

The bill's requirements would not apply to an action taken by:

- a state agency to protect public health and safety or the environment;
- an officer listed in statute governing criminal history record disclosure in connection with financial services; or
- the Texas Workforce Commission if the action was required to conform to or comply with federal law.

A state agency would be required to implement the bill's provisions only if the Legislature appropriated money specifically for that purpose. Otherwise the state agency could, but would not be required to, implement the bill's provisions using other available appropriations.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2021.

NOTES: According to the Legislative Budget Board, the fiscal implications of the bill are indeterminate. The costs associated with implementing the provisions of the bill would depend on the number of first time violations of statute or rule by small businesses and the number of those small businesses that are able to remedy the violation. The number of small businesses that would be allowed the opportunity to remedy a first violation of a statute or of a rule administered by a state agency before any penalties are imposed is unknown.