## **BILL ANALYSIS**

Senate Research Center 76R2067 PEP-D

H.B. 347 By: Averitt (Ogden) Intergovernmental Relations 4/27/1999 Engrossed

#### **DIGEST**

Due to overcrowded conditions in local jails, certain municipalities and counties in Texas financed new jail facilities (facilities) commencing in the mid-1980's. Commonly, the municipality or county contracted with a nonprofit corporation that acted on behalf of the governmental unit, thereby allowing the governmental unit to lease-purchase, or lease with an option to purchase, the facilities from the nonprofit corporation which, in turn, issued certificates of participation (certificates) representing a "pass-through" of the lease payments of the governmental unit. Proceeds from the sale of these certificates were then applied to build the facilities. Typically, the obligation of the municipality or county to make lease payments has been subject to annual appropriations made by the governing body. Additionally, most of the financing arrangements have included a deed of trust and security agreement entered into by the nonprofit corporation with a trustee to secure for the benefit of the purchasers of the certificates a mortgage on the financed facility. Many of the certificates were initially sold at a high interest rate, relative to current bond market rates. Certain municipalities and counties desire to refinance the facilities through issuance of refunding bonds but have been unable to do so because there is an apparent lack of statutory authorization for the refinancing of the facilities in this manner. This bill would authorize municipalities, counties, and nonprofit corporations acting on their behalf to refinance facilities originally financed through certificates of participation.

### **PURPOSE**

As proposed, H.B. 347 authorizes municipalities, counties, and nonprofit corporations acting on their behalf to refinance facilities originally financed through certificates of participation.

# **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY**

This bill does not grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, institution, or agency.

## SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS

SECTION 1. Amends Sections 361.051(4) and (5), Local Government Code, to redefine "lease obligation" and "obligations."

SECTION 2. Amends Section 361.052, Local Government Code, to include obligations incurred, rather than only issued, in connection with an eligible project as one of the obligations which the governing body of an entity is empowered and authorized to issue. Provides that this subsection is applicable regardless of when the obligations are due, or when title to the project is transferred to the entity. Authorizes revenues derived by an entity from the operation of an eligible project to be pledged to secure or pay the entity's obligations, in whole or in part. Makes nonsubstantive changes.

SECTION 3. Amends Section 361.053(d), Local Government Code, to authorize the bonds to be secured additionally by a deed of trust granting a security interest in an eligible project.

SECTION 4. Emergency clause.

Effective date: upon passage.